



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

AUG 04 2017

Stacey C. Stone, Esq.
Holmes Weddle and Barcott, P.C.
701 West Eighth Ave., Suite 700
Anchorage, AK 99501-3408

RE: MUR 7164
Alaska Republican Party
and Julie Tisdale, as treasurer
Tuckerman Babcock

Dear Ms. Stone:

The Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") previously notified your clients, Alaska Republican Party and Julie Tisdale, in her official capacity as treasurer, and Tuckerman Babcock, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations.

On August 3, 2017, based upon information contained in the complaint, as well as information provided by your clients, the Commission dismissed certain allegations that your clients violated the Act and Commission regulations, pursuant to the Commission's prosecutorial discretion, *see Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985), and found no reason to believe as to other allegations. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on August 3, 2017. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

Documents related to this case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files*, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and *Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record*, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

1
2
3 **RESPONDENTS:** Alaska Republican Party and MUR 7164
4 Julie Tisdale, as treasurer
5 Tuckerman Babcock
6

7 **I. INTRODUCTION**

8 This matter was generated by a Complaint alleging that the Alaska Republican Party,
9 Chairman Tuckerman Babcock, and Julie Tisdale in her official capacity as treasurer
10 (collectively the "Committee") violated the Act and Commission regulations by failing to timely
11 file a Statement of Organization naming the current treasurer and filing reports with the former
12 treasurer's name.¹ Further, the Complaint alleges that Tisdale failed to accurately disclose cash
13 on hand held by the Committee's "Victory Fund" in its 2016 June and September Monthly
14 disclosure reports.² Finally, the Complaint alleges that the Committee failed to include a proper
15 disclaimer on its mailer that opposed a Libertarian candidate for U.S. Senate.³

16 **II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

17 The Committee responds that it revised its Statement of Organization as soon as Tisdale
18 officially became the treasurer, its cash-on-hand disclosures were accurate, and its disclaimer
19 was sufficiently complete.⁴

20 Political committees must file a Statement of Organization naming, among other things,
21 the name of the committee's treasurer.⁵ Any change in the information provided to the

¹ Compl. at 1 (Oct. 27, 2016).

² *Id.* at 2.

³ *Id.* See also Compl. Attach. 7.

⁴ Resp. at 1 (Nov. 17, 2016); Resp. Attach. at 1. Tisdale avers that all Committee reports, including those that have been amended, are now accurate.

1 Commission, including the name of the committee's treasurer, must be reported in an amended
2 Statement of Organization within 10 days of the change.⁶ Further, the treasurer must file reports
3 of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of the Act.⁷ These reports must
4 include the amount of the committee's cash on hand at the beginning of the reporting period.⁸
5 Additionally, the Act and Commission regulations require disclaimers on public communications
6 made by political committees.⁹

7 Some of state committee's reports indicate that Tisdale may have become the treasurer as
8 early as June 2016, but the Committee did not amend its Statement of Organization until October
9 26, 2016.¹⁰ However, given the Committee's corrective action, and in furtherance of the
10 Commission's priorities, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the
11 Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed the allegation that the
12 Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30103(c) and 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(2).¹¹

⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30103(b)(4); 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(1)(iv).

⁶ 52 U.S.C. § 30103(c); 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(2).

⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1).

⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(1).

⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a), (c); 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)-(c). A "public communication" is defined as a "communication by means of any broadcast, cable or satellite communication, newspaper, magazine, outdoor advertising facility, mass mailing, or telephone bank to the general public, or any other form of general public advertising." 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. The regulations further require that disclaimers for printed communications must be conspicuous and clearly state that they are paid for or authorized by a candidate or candidate's committee, state the committee's street address, telephone number, or web address, be of sufficient type size to be readable, and be in a printed box set apart from the rest of the communication. 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1), (c)(1) and (2).

¹⁰ Alaska Republican Party, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Oct. 26, 2016).

¹¹ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

1 There is no information to suggest that the Committee misreported its cash on hand in its
2 2016 disclosure reports.¹² Therefore, the Commission found no reason to believe that the
3 Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(1).

4 Finally, the disclaimer on the mailer was deficient because it did not include a statement
5 that the mailer was not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee, a printed box
6 around the disclaimer, and the physical address or web address of the Committee. Although the
7 disclaimer is insufficient, it nevertheless includes information indicating that the Committee was
8 responsible for it, as well as a mailing address. Therefore, in consideration of the Commission's
9 priorities, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Commission
10 exercised its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegation that the Committee violated
11 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a), (c), and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)-(c).¹³

¹² Although the Committee amended its 2016 June Monthly report, the cash on hand amount remained the same. According to the Reports Analysis Division, the Committee only reported a \$35 increase in receipts.

¹³ *Heckler at 470 U.S. 821.*